files

Ad Hoc Review Meeting
CALFED Storage and Conveyance Refinement Process
September 5, 1996, 1:30-3:30
Rm 1142, Resource Building

Key Points of Meeting

- Storage and Conveyance refinement process will go through many iterations, with opportunities for agency and stakeholder input at each step
- Operating assumptions need to be packaged into small number of bundles
- Assumptions will be developed in an open process, under direction of Ecosystem Restoration Modeling Team.

Agenda:

1) Status Report on Storage and Conveyance Refinement Process

2) Review of revised draft modeling Assumptions Package

3) Discussion of questions and concerns regarding assumptions and component refinement process

4) Other items

Participants

Stein Buer	sbuer@water.ca.gov	(916) 653-6628
Pete Chadwick	chadwick@s3.sonnet.com	(209) 727-3124
Susan Hatfield	hatfield.susan@epamail.epa.gov	(415) 744-1994
Larry Rodriguez	larry_rodriguez@sac.rminc.com	(916) 852-5080
Frank Wernette	fwernett@delta.dfg.ca.gov	(209) 369-3746
Jim White	103063.2257@compuserve.com	(916) 653-3540
Ted Roefs	troefs@ibr2gw80.mp.usbr.gov	(916) 979-2278
Andrew Hamilton	andrew_hamilton@fws.gov	(916) 979-2760
Tom Howard	tomh@swrcb.wrfs2.ca.gov	(916) 657-1873
George Barnes	gbarnes@water.ca.gov	(916) 653-5924
Jean Elder	evelyn_elder@mail.fws.gov	(916) 979-2733
Marco Bell	mbell@water.ca.gov	(916) 657-2666
John Renning	jrenning@2cool00.mp.usbr.gov	(916) 979-2707

E-009333

Rick Breitenbach	rickb@water.ca.gov	(916) 657-2666
Steve Shaffer	sdshaffer@ucdavis.edu	(916) 654-1765
Mohammad Rayej	rayej@water.ca.gov	(916) 653-3522
Bob Suits	suits@water.ca.gov	(916) 653-4603
Param Dhillon	pdhillon@water.ca.gov	(916) 657-4469

Meeting Notes

Steve Yaeger said that the storage and conveyance refinement process would involve a series of iterations with refinements in assumption, modeling detail and data at each step. To begin with the modeling process would be fairly rough, based on the modeling assumptions agreed to by the process participants.

Stein Buer said that various operating assumptions for the system need to be integrated into a few coherent packages. Otherwise the number of modeling runs will grow to be too large to be manageable or understandable. Dick Daniel's Ecosystem Restoration Work group would develop the assumptions packages, based on input from the various CALFED agencies and stakeholders. Until this process yields new packages of assumptions, the existing Bay-Delta standards, plus constraints on Sacramento River Diversions, would be assumed.

Dick Daniel described how the Ecosystem Restoration workgroup is developing flow objectives related to ecosystem restoration goals.

Susan Hatfield expressed concern about how the various constraints and assumptions would be developed. She felt there needed to be a lot of coordination between the modeling and ecosystem participants.

There were several questions about the modeling assumptions package which had been distributed to the PCT. It was agreed that Table 1, which describes the proposed initial modeling runs, needed to be clarified and explained further with footnotes.

The various modeling assumptions and future modeling needs were discussed. Ultimately there will be a need for modeling of water temperatures in key reaches, water quality, hydrodynamics and other parameters for the later phases of study.